ADAPTIVE SCHEDULING OF FUNCTION CALLS IN DYNAMIC RECONFIGURABLE LOGIC

10

15

20

25

## **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

## Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to hardware acceleration of software code in a dynamic reconfigurable computing systems.

## State of the Art

The execution of a computer software program may be accelerated by converting sections of the software code that are most frequently executed into *Function Calls* that are implemented or assisted in hardware. Traditionally, the partitioning of total system logic into separate hardware and software portions for respective implementations is determined early in the architecture design stage. However, implementing application specific logic in hardware can be time-consuming and expansive. As a result, hardware acceleration has been limited to cases that are generic and obvious.

Moreover, a majority of software programs are written in high-level program languages, such as C and Java. These programs are translated via a compiler into machine instructions targeted for a specific processor. Because of this isolation of software programming from target processor architecture, opportunities for hardware acceleration specific to a software program are traditionally not materialized.

With Dynamic Reconfigurable Logic, such as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,970,254 entitled "An Integrated Processor and Programmable Data Path Chip for

10

15

20

25

Reconfigurable Computing," the above-mentioned acceleration opportunities can be easily and economically materialized. A method as such is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,966,534 entitled "Method for Compiling High Level Programming Languages into an Integrated Processor with Reconfigurable Logic." Pertaining to this method, a software program is analyzed by a software tool, known as profiler, to target the most frequently executed codes for hardware acceleration. Code segments thus identified, known as *Hard Functions*, are extracted and implemented in Reconfigurable Logic via a series of transformation and logic synthesis tools to generate *Configuration Bits* which configure the *Reconfigurable Logic Hardware* to perform the exact functions of the original code segments are generated.

A reconfigurable system may consist of multiple reconfigurable hardware units, known as Fabric Partitions, each can be programmed independently. When necessary, more than one partition may be "chained" together to accommodate a given Hard Function. To execute a Hard Function, its Configuration Bits must be pre-stored in a designated on-chip memory area, known as *Configuration Memory*. The Configuration Memory may retain one active entry, called Active Plane, plus several Shadow entries, called Docking Planes, of Configuration Bits. The Docking Planes provide concurrent loading of Configuration Bits for Hard Functions that are to be executed next, in the background while the Fabric Partition is executing off the Active Plane. When a Fabric Partition concludes executing the current Active Plan, the contents of any one of the Docking Planes can be transferred to the Active Plane and then be activated (for execution) instantly. It is essential that the Hard Function to be executed has its Configuration Bits prefetched to a Docking Plane, or else, the system would be idle while waiting for the Configuration Bits to arrive, causing system throughput to suffer. To a degree, this problem can be partially answered by having the execution flow of the software program analyzed to determine the point of pre-fetching for each Hard Function. However, in general, there are more sets of Configuration Bit candidates for pre-

10

15

20

25

fetching than there are *Docking Planes*. Consequently, it becomes necessary to choose, perhaps based on benchmark statistics, the best candidates to pre-fetch. Yet, the best choices are not always obvious, the reasons are:

- 1. Best choices are, more likely than not, sensitive to real-time input data streams. Static scheduling, as described above, is likely misled by average values. For example, a function called following an event 100% of the time over half of the runtime and occurring 0% over the other half would show a 50% chance of being called after the event. This would imply a fixed 50% schedule miss, even though zero miss could be easily achieved.
- 2. Hardware acceleration does not always produce better throughput. If a function stays active only briefly, it can't justify the overhead incurred in pre-fetching. However, the duration of a function staying active is not generally static.
- 3. Static scheduling, by default, has to be conservative. Borderline cases would have to be discarded, performance gain opportunities may not be fully realized.

With dynamic reconfiguration capability in the system, code segments identified as *Function Calls* can be thought of as having all their *Configuration Bits* kept in unlimited numbers of *Virtual Programmable Logic Fabric Partitions* (or simply, *Virtual Partitions*). *Virtual Partitions* are kept in secondary storage areas and are fetched into real *Fabric Partitions* just in time for execution. The scheme, in concept, is similar to a Virtual Memory popular in computer architectures. As a result, more code can thus be serviced for hardware acceleration in this virtual environment. A method that supports the storage organization needed for *Virtual Partitions* is described in Attorney docket No. 032001-008 entitled "An On-chip Memory Architecture and Design Methodology Supporting the Conversion of High Level Source Code into Reconfigurable Logic Gates."

Although similar in concept, *Virtual Partitions* require a scheduling algorithm that differs significantly to that of a Virtual Memory. A virtual memory segment is fetched into real memory space based on a scheme called demand paging. That is, in essence, the fetching of memory content from virtual space to real is triggered by its absence when addressed. But, when that happens, the system fetches data on a wholesale basis, that is, not just the data items, but a whole block of memory containing those items. This scheme is effective for virtual memory because memory usage tends to be localized. Such locality cannot be assumed with *Virtual Partitions*. As a result, fetching of *Virtual Partitions* must be scheduled in advance.

10

15

20

25

5

The purpose of scheduling is to optimize the usage of the real *Fabric Partitions* for maximal performance gain. A method of such scheduling is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,966,534 entitled "Method for Compiling High Level Programming Languages into an Integrated Processor with Reconfigurable Logic." In this method, scheduling instructions are explicitly inserted into software program code. In other words, the scheduling is **static** even though *Hard Functions* are called dynamically, sensitive to input data streams. The advantage of a *static scheduling* method as this one is in its simplicity. However, performance penalty incurred with a schedule miss is so excessive, scheduling has to be on the conservative side in this method. As a result, the potential of hardware acceleration may not be fully achieved.

The present invention comprises a generalized scheduling method, in which scheduling of *Configuration Bits* pre-fetching is dynamic and adaptive to real-time execution patterns. This method improves system throughput by minimizing both schedule misses and the penalty incurred with a schedule miss. In addition, user interactions with the system is simplified. Finally, in a *Training Mode* included in the present invention, a software program can "train" its scheduling to become more and more effective, learning from real-time samples, and thus, eliminate some of the

preprocessing steps that would otherwise be needed to establish an elaborated data base on which the scheduling is based.

## **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention, in general, is a system and method for dynamic scheduling of *Hard Functions* (i.e., code segments which are targeted and prepared for hardware execution in a software program) for execution in a *Reconfigurable Logic Fabric Partition* of a *reconfigurable computing system*. Accordingly, the scheduling method is:

10

5

dynamic -- the schedule is re-evaluated each time a *Hard Function* is invoked, heuristic - scheduling is based on heuristic *figures of merits* formulated upon the statistics kept with a *Call History Model*, and

adaptive – the statistics kept in the *Call History Model* are adjusted based on recent call pattern observed in real-time.

15

20

25

In a first aspect of the present invention, prior to execution of a software program, code segments identified as *Hard Functions* are implemented for: (a) *Soft Execution* and (b) *Hard Execution*. In the former case, the function is compiled to be executed wholly in the system's embedded processor. In the latter case, the function is compiled to have portions of the code, marked for hardware acceleration, executed outside of the embedded processor and in *Reconfigurable Logic*.

Meanwhile, each section of code marked for hardware acceleration is transcribed to its functional equivalence in logic in the form of *Configuration Bits*. The *Configuration Bits* stored in the *Configuration Memory* can configure the *Reconfigurable Logic* to perform the exact function of the section of code they were transcribed from. With this *duality in implementation* the system can invoke *software execution* as an alternative, in case the *Configuration Bits* associated with a function is not readily in place for execution when the function is called. As the

10

15

20

25

result, the system will never have to stall.

In a second aspect of the present invention, a hierarchy of memory storage devices with incremental access latency is employed to stage the Configuration Bits of individual Hard Functions to arrive at the Configuration Memory in time when a function is called. This hierarchy may include any combination among a wide range of memory storage devices, such as ROM, hard disk, dedicated area of main memory, dedicated SRAM used as Configuration Buffer, on-chip memory used as Configuration Cache, etc. These devices mimic Configuration Memory and extend real Reconfigurable Logic Hardware into virtually an unlimited number of Virtual Partitions. The Configuration Bits from each Hard Function in a software program is assigned to a Virtual Partition. Initially, all Virtual Partitions are stored in a nonvolatile device, e.g. disk. Over time, they are copied over up the ladder of the staging hierarchy, in pace with its estimated time of need. Optimally, a given Virtual Partition needs to be in a stage where the composite latency is within its estimated time of need. By the same token, a Virtual Partition can be retracted from a stage where its estimated time of need falls behind the composite latency of the previous stage. In this way, a Virtual Partition is assured to be within reach for the Hard Execution, but not tying up resources prematurely. In cases when the number of Virtual Partitions asserted to be at a certain stage exceeds the capacity of that stage device, priority is asserted based on the calculation of expected performance gain on individual Virtual Partitions.

In a third aspect of the present invention, a Call History Model of Hard Function Calls in a software program is established for the basis of scheduling. In the Model, each call-site of a Hard Function is included as a Function Call.

Associated with each Function Call is a list of probable next-calls, which track the call history following this call. In the present invention, an adaptive method is used to trace all history instead of the prior art pre-processing analysis technique of

establishing call history. The basis of the adaptive method is founded on *duality in implementation* and because a schedule miss is not as detrimental as it would be otherwise. This allows the system to learn from its previous hits and misses dynamically. Also included in the *Call History Model* is statistical data reflecting the execution trends of a *Function Call*, in terms of frequency of use, performance gain, and execution duration. The statistical data is used to estimate time of need and expected gain for the staging of *Virtual Partitions*.

In a fourth aspect of the present invention, scheduling of *Hard Function*Calls is adaptive to the real-time call pattern of the application. At the start-up time for a given software program, an initial Call History Model is loaded so as to start up the staging process of Virtual Partitions. The initial Call History Model can be one constructed by a pre-processor analyzing benchmark input data. Alternatively, the Call History Model can be saved from a previous run. The statistical content of the Model can then be updated dynamically, coincident upon actual activation of Function Calls in an application. The method also includes heuristics that fine-tune the scheduling processes. For instance, probabilities associated with next-calls are adjusted dynamically, where the weight of adjustment can itself be adjusted empirically.

20

25

5

10

15

In a fifth aspect of the present invention, a *Demand Look-Ahead* scheme is devised to look for *Virtual Partitions* that will be needed several steps ahead. For instance, a current call  $F_A$  may be followed by a call  $F_B$  50% of the time and a call  $F_C$  50% of the time, while  $F_B$  and  $F_C$  are both followed by call  $F_D$  100% of the time. This makes call  $F_D$  a sure bid one call remote from the current call  $F_A$ . In this aspect, the system traverses the *next-calls* lists several levels down to obtain a snapshot of the composite demands of all *Virtual Partitions*, then positions them in the staging hierarchy accordingly. Although copying *Virtual Partitions* from place to place increases system activities, those activities are done in the background and

15

25

thus present no impact to system throughput.

In a sixth aspect of the present invention, the system optionally includes a *Training Mode* in which the system starts up without an initial *Call History Model* and constructs such a *Model* on-the-fly. The adaptive nature of the present invention makes the self-training possible, and thus simplifies user interaction with the system.

## **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The present invention may be further understood from the following written description in conjunction with the appended drawings. In the drawings:

- Fig. 1 shows an overview of an exemplary Reconfigurable Logic Fabric;
- Fig. 2 shows the general structure of a Function Call;
- Fig. 3 shows the activation flow of a Function Call;
- Fig. 4 shows the extension of Fabric Partitions into virtual space;
- Fig. 5 shows an exemplary staging hierarchy for *Virtual Partitions*;
- Fig. 6 shows the address scheme for Virtual Partitions;
- Fig. 7 shows the schematics of a FCT (Function Call Table);
- Fig. 8 shows the schematics of a VPM (Virtual Partition Map);
- Fig. 9 shows the *incremental tasking* of the scheduling *processes*;
  - Fig. 10 shows an example of a next-calls fanout tree.

## **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**

In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, given a program source code written in a high level language such as C-language, each code segment identified for hardware acceleration is handled as a *Hard Function*. A computer implemented behavior synthesis method (described in U.S. Patent No. 5,970,254 referenced above) is then used to implement each *Hard Function* in

10

15

20

25

hardware suitable for the *Reconfigurable Logic Hardware* (described in U.S. Patent No. 5,970,254 referenced above). An overview of an exemplary system 10 including *Reconfigurable Logic Fabric* is shown in Fig. 1. In general, the system includes typical processing system elements such as a peripheral interface controller 11, the external memory interface 12, a PCI bus 13, a system bus 14, system level function elements 15 and general purpose I/O 16. The system further includes an embedded processor 17 and a *Reconfigurable Logic Fabric* 18 including a plurality of *Fabric Partitions* 19. Each *Fabric Partition* may be implemented to have an associated data path portion 20, local memory portion 21, central logic 22, and *Configuration Memory* 23 as shown in Figure 1. In one embodiment, the data portion is made up of a plurality of programmable multi-function datapath units (DPUs), not shown, as described in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/307,072, filed May 7, 1999.

In prior art techniques, a *Hard Function* is identified and extracted from the software program on a boundary that coincides with the reconfigurable hardware. With the present invention, this restriction is removed; a *Hard Function* is situated on its natural functional boundary, which means a *Hard Function* may glue together one or more *Fabric Calls* (sub-units that observe hardware boundary) as one functional entity. In other words, a *Hard Function*, no matter how many calls it transfers to hardware, is to be compiled, optimized and scheduled together as one unit.

A Hard Function, like a software subroutine, may be invoked from multiple call-sites in a software program. Each call-site is dealt with uniquely as a Function Call. Even though multiple Function Calls of a given Hard Function involve the same set of Fabric Calls, the data communication surrounding the calls may not be identical. Distinguishing between each Function Call has the advantage of allowing a compiler to streamline data traffic locally. However, the distinction between a

10

15

20

25

Hard Function and a Function Call is, in fact, an artificial one, and hence we will herein use the two terms indiscriminately. As shown in Fig. 2, a Function Call 24 is composed of one or more Fabric Calls 25A, surrounded by code that directs the data traffic to and from the Fabric Calls. For instance, Fig. 2 shows code 25B outside of each Fabric Call code. All Function Calls invoking the same Hard Function employ the same set of Fabric Calls.

When a software program source code is compiled by a compiler customized for the present invention, each Function Call is compiled with dual implementation, a Soft implementation and a Hard implementation. Firstly, in a Soft implementation path, the whole function is compiled for execution in the embedded processor 17 (Fig. 1) as if Fabric Call boundaries don't exist. Secondly, in a Hard implementation path, Fabric Calls are replaced by code that transfers the execution of Fabric Calls to hardware by activating the corresponding Configuration Bits. In parallel of the software program, each Fabric Call is also synthesized by a synthesis tool to generate the corresponding Configuration Bits. For instance, the code between the beginning and ending Fabric Call Statements are converted into a known hardware description language such as RTL or HDL. A behavioral synthesis tool such as described in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/307,174 then converts the hardware description language into the corresponding Configuration Bits. Finally, both Soft and Hard implementations are assembled together to allow alternate branching to invoke either or both of the implementations subject to runtime conditions or options.

There are three-fold objectives with this *duality in implementation*. First of all, the software program can continue executing the *Soft* implementation, without having to wait for miss-scheduled *Configuration Bits*. Secondly, it facilitates the verification of the *Hard* implementation against the *Soft* implementation, since both of them can be invoked in parallel and have their results compared at the end.

Thirdly, it allows the system to self-tune its scheduling for *Function Calls*, adaptive to the incoming data stream, to maximize system throughput.

Fig. 3 shows a flowchart illustrating the Activation Flow of a Function Call and the concept of duality in implementation. Fig. 3 shows that when each Fabric Call Statement is encountered in the software program, a scheduling decision (28) is made as to whether it will be a Hard Execution or Soft Execution. If the configuration bits are in place (29) (i.e., loaded into the memory areas as will be described herein below), Hard Execution is initiated (30). The CPU executes the program (31) and, when it encounters a BEGIN FABRIC MACRO statement, the Fabric Partitions (19) are used to perform the Hard Execution and then return to the software program at the end of each macro to continue the flow of the Function Call as executed by the CPU (32-35). If the scheduling decision (28) determines that a Soft Execution should be performed, or if the Configuration Bits are not in place (29), Soft Execution is initiated (36). In this case the BEGIN\_FABRIC\_MACRO and the END FABRIC MACRO statements are ignored and the code between these statements are executed by the embedded processor. In one embodiment during a diagnostic mode (37), both of the Soft and Hard Executions are performed after which the statistical data is compared.

20

25 .

5

10

15

Expanded from the self-tuning aspect, in one embodiment of the present invention, a *Training Mode* (39) can be included to bring a software program up cold, starting out all *Function Calls* in *Soft Executions*, but gradually switching to *Hard Executions* for ones that are found having the most impact to system throughput. In this case, if the system is in *Training Mode* (39) statistical data is logged and a statistical profile (40) is developed for each *Function Call*.

No matter which mode is being implemented, at the end of the execution of the a Function Call the Function Call Table (FCT), which includes statistical data

relating to the execution of the Function Call; is updated (41).

## **Function Call**

5

10

15

20

Fig. 1 shows a general structure of a Function Call in one embodiment of the present invention. As shown, a Function Call is enclosed by a pair of special statements: a BEGIN-FUNCTION-CALL 26A in the front and an END-FUNCTION-CALL 26B at the end. Within the Function Call, each sub-segment targeted for hardware implementation is enclosed by a second pair of special statements: a BEGIN-FABRIC-MACRO 27A in the front and an END-FABRIC-MACRO 27B at the end. Optionally, a PRELOAD-FABRIC-MACRO statement (not shown) can be used to pre-fetch Fabric Calls in advance of the BEGIN-FABRIC-MACRO statement. Thus, a Function Call, may include portions that are to be converted to hardware and portions that are not, which in its entirety coincides with a natural boundary of the program function. Two advantages are achieved with this Function Call format. First, code changes to a software program are kept minimal to adopt the system and method of the present invention. Second, sections of code can be isolated as Fabric Calls automatically, while having the remaining code optimized for streamlining data traffic and maximizing system throughput. The compiler can invoke special hardware resources, for instance DMA channels, to achieve such optimization.

In one exemplary embodiment, the two enclosing *Function Call* statements 26A and 26B are translated by the compiler into codes that initiate the system for a sequence of runtime actions, including:

- 1. Determining whether *Hard* implementation or *Soft* implementation of the *Function Call* is to be activated, or both as in training or diagnostic mode;
  - 2. Initiate special *runtime service routines*, if in training or diagnostic mode, to track input data, log output data, and compare data resulted from *Soft* and *Hard Executions*; and
- 30 3. Initiate a sequence of scheduling tasks to update statistics of the Function

Calls, look ahead to identify among probable next-calls the most likely candidates to line up for Hard Execution, and "move" their respective Virtual Partitions in a hierarchy of storage devices.

Similarly, the two *Fabric Call* statements are translated into codes that signal system hardware to activate or deactivate specific *Configuration Bits* for execution. The system actions of the above special statements are illustrated in Fig. 3.

## **Virtual Partition**

5

10

15

20

25

30

In a reconfigurable computing system, there are a finite number of Fabric Partitions 19 (Fig. 1). Each can be programmed independently, and several consecutive Fabric Partitions may be "chained" to accommodate a particular function. In the present invention, a Virtual Partition carries one real partition worth of Configuration Bits for a Fabric Call, and likewise, several Virtual Partitions may be chained to mirror image the chaining of real fabrics. Virtual Partitions are stored in a persistent and non-volatile storage, such as disk, ROM, etc. There is practically no limit as how many Virtual Partitions there can be, as many as it takes in a software program. The concept of Virtual Partitions is shown in Fig. 4.

As shown in Fig. 4, initially a software program 42 is evaluated and Fabric Calls 43 are identified so as to generate the Virtual Partitions 44. The Virtual Partitions are then stored into the Fabric Partition Virtual Space 45.

At runtime, as shown in Fig. 4, the *Virtual Partitions* are copied through a chain of memory devices to reach the real *Fabric Partition* 19 for execution. the memory devices are represented in Fig. 4 by the *Fabric Partition Virtual Space* 45. These memory devices are lined up in their order of latencies 46 and provide a *pathway* that stages the movement of fabric *Configuration Bits* "just in time" for their execution. In the present invention, this *pathway* may be made-up of any combination of storage devices, including hard disk 47, system main memory 48,

10

15

20

25

dedicated external SRAM 49, dedicate on-chip buffer memory 49, and on-chip Configuration Cache 49, depending on software program throughput requirements. The general idea is that the slower the access time of the storage device, the greater the storage units (or staging slots) within each level of the Virtual Space Pyramid 45. (see indicator 50). The final stage of the pathway is on the fabric in an area known as the Shadow Configuration Memory, also called Docking Planes 51. Docking planes allow loading of the next-call function in the background while Fabric Partitions are executing current Function Calls from the Active Plane 52.

Referring to Fig. 5, the memory storage unit within the *pyramid* taken by a *Virtual Partition* is called a *staging slot*. The combined *slots* of all storage devices in the *pathway* form the *pyramid* of staging paths as shown in Fig. 4. *Virtual Partitions* move up and down the *pyramid*, based on the urgency of their time of need. A *Virtual Partition* may occupy a chain of *slots* across the *stage* hierarchy. The highest stage at a given instance of the chain is called the *rank* of the *Virtual Partition*, and its *slot location* at that *rank* is called the *position* of the *Virtual Partition*. Fig. 5 shows examples of *Virtual Partitions* moving within the *pyramid*. To move a *Virtual Partition* up a *rank* in the *pyramid*, its bit image at the current *position* is copied over to a free *slot* 51 in the next *rank*, while the copy at its current *position* is left untouched (52). To move a *Virtual Partition* down a *rank*, the system simply retracts back to its previous *rank* and *position*, and the current *slot* is recycled.

Under this *pyramid* structure, a *Virtual Partition* is given an attribute called *stage latency*. *Stage latency* is the composite access times of all devices from current *stage* to the top of the *pyramid*. Applying the "just-in-time" principle, the system and method attempt to place a *Virtual Partition* in a *rank* such as:

(1)  $stage\ latency(rank) < expected\ time\ of\ need < stage\ latency(rank - 1)$ 

Ideally, there would be sufficient staging slots in every hierarchy level of the pathway to keep Virtual Partitions all within reach. In general, slot capacity is less and less to the top (53) and consequently, many Virtual Partitions may compete for available free slots in a given hierarchal stage. When this happens, the system prioritizes the candidate Virtual Partitions in accordance with their expected payback, which reflects the improved throughput that can be expected from Hard Execution. Payback is calculated as the product of the following three factors:

Probability, of getting called next

Hard-Duration, the average duration in Hard Execution

Speed-up, the performance gain factor in Hard vs. Soft Executions

Out of the three factors, the first two are re-evaluated dynamically. The third remains static to its initialized value, except in *Training Mode*. In *Training Mode*, an option can be set to execute in parallel both *Soft* and *Hard* implementations, thus, allowing real-time evaluation of the third factor.

15

20

25

30

10

5

#### **Staging Storage Addressing**

Fig. 6 shows the addressing and staging dynamics of *Virtual Partitions* in the *pyramid*. In an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the *position* of a *Virtual Partition* in the above-mentioned *pyramid* is locatable using a chain of *address words* (54-58). An *address word*, containing *rank 59*, *slot position* 60, *link* 61, and other fields as shown in Fig. 6, uniquely addresses the location of a *staging slot* where the bit contents of a *Virtual Partition* is stored. As shown in Fig. 6, one particular field is a pointer 61 linking to its *address word* at a preceding *rank*. There is one *address word* in the system main memory of the *pathway* for every storage *slot* in the system. Free *slots* at each *rank* are chained together for recycling. Maintained with an address-word are four access-control flags shown in Fig. 8:

Valid indicates the validity of the Configuration Bits copied at the location,

Lock prohibits the recycling of the slot location until it is unlocked,

Park indicates staging end-point; hardware takes over here on, and

10

15

25

30

**Persistent** indicates the location is permanent and never reassigned.

With these flags, a wide range of staging storage arrangements can be supported. A given reconfigurable computing system may include on-chip staging resources, e.g. *Configuration Buffer* shown in Fig. 4, *Configuration Cache*, and *fabric* etc., that are directly managed by system hardware. The method described in the present invention is general enough to allow these hardware stages to be either visible or transparent from scheduling. For instance, a *Configuration Cache*, capable of fetching *Configuration Bit* data directly from system memory; can have the *park* flag set so that scheduling stops at the *Configuration Cache* level and the remainder of the *Virtual Partition* movement is controlled by hardware. Alternatively, the flag may be set off to allow the scheduling system direct control over its *caching algorithm*.

Similarly, some or all *Virtual Partitions* may be preloaded into system memory initially with their *persistent* flag set. This will guarantee the access latency of these *Virtual Partitions* can be no worse than the storage device's *staging latency* in which the *Virtual Partition* was pre-loaded into.

## 20 System Tables

In an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, several system tables are maintained to model and monitor the dynamics of *Function Calls*; and schedule the staging of their corresponding *Virtual Partitions*. Among them, the two main ones are the *Function Call Table (FCT)* and *Virtual Partition Map (VPM)*.

Exemplary embodiments of the *FCT* and *VPM* are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 respectively.

As shown in Fig. 7, FCT 62A has one entry 62B per each Function Call (Func-A, Func-B,...etc.) in a given software program. In a general case, a Function Call may include one or more Fabric Calls; a Fabric Call may utilize one or more

10

15

20

25

Partitions; and each Partition may consist of one or more repetitive tiles of Reconfigurable Logic Fabric. In an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, every Partition is of identical shape and size; and all Fabric Calls within a Function Call are scheduled together, even though a Fabric Call may be invoked in multiple instances of Function Calls.

Each FCT entry 62B portraits the execution behavior of the associated Function Call, as shown in Fig. 7, providing key information in the following fields: next-calls (63) - the linked list (using the "list pointer" field 64) of probable next Function Calls following current call, each node in the list contains the following information:

next-call-id (65) - the identification of the probable next-call,
probability (66) - the probability of actually being called next,
time-gap (67) - the separation in time between the two calls in succession;
speed-up (68) - the performance gain factor of the function in Hard Execution
(Fabric Partitions involved) vs. Soft Execution (Fabric Partitions not involved);

hard-duration (69) - the length of time in Hard Execution;macro-set (70) - the list of the included Fabric Calls, presented in the form of their corresponding VPM indices.

This data is used to schedule Function Calls, lining up the associated Virtual Partitions in pace with their probable times of invocation. The list of next-calls 63 implements a variation of branch prediction, where prediction is drawn on an empirical and adaptive basis. The speed-up 68 and hard-duration information 69, on the other hand, provide the basis for (Soft vs. Hard Execution) tradeoff evaluation. The macro-set 70 tells where to look for the Fabric Calls included and indexes to the VPM.

15

20

25

30

Fig. 8 shows the second system table *Virtual Partition Map (VPM)* 71A which has an entry 71B for every unique *Fabric Call* (vPart-A, vPart-B, ...vPart-Z) in the software program. Each entry, as shown in Fig. 8, contains the following fields:

5 locator 72 - points to the address word 73 at its present rank 74.

tenure 75 – shows the desired rank 76 for its sequent movement.

call-id 77 - links back to Function Call in the FCT.

*in-demand* 78 – tracks in real-time, for scheduling purpose, the *in-demand* status anticipated for the specific Partition:

time-window 79 – provide the upper and lower bounds of the time of need:

time-to-enter 80 - the anticipated earliest time of activation

time-to-leave 81- the anticipated latest time of deactivation.

touched 82 - a flag that is reset at the beginning of Demand Look-Ahead

prediction 83 – sums up, over all probably threads of Function Calls, the composite Probability of being activated within the time window.

*opportunity* 84 – similar to *prediction*, sums up the composite *payback* that can be anticipated from *Hard Execution*.

Also shown in Fig. 8 is the linking information of the physical location of the *Configuration Bits* in the staging memory devices. For instance, as shown in Fig. 8 there are three devices, e.g., Rank 1 device, Rank 2 device, Rank 3 device, each showing the number of the *slot location* in the ranked devices (i.e., slot #1, slot #2..., slot #m).

## Statistical Modeling of Function Calls

In one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the two system tables are pre-initialized based on benchmark data. A *profiling* method such as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,966,534, can be used to analyze the benchmark data for patterns and statistics on the *activation sequence* of *Function Calls* (Fig. 3). In another embodiment of the present invention, no analytical profiling is required.

Instead, the system simply tracks over a history of benchmark runs what *Function* Calls are most likely to be called next following the activation of a given current Function Call. The established pair-wise statistical relationship between the current and the next-call Function Call is stored in a corresponding FCT entry as shown in Fig. 7.

This Statistical Model is the basis for "ranking" the tenure of all Virtual Partitions within the next stretch of time following the activation of a specific Function Call. Any rare cases next-call can be ignored in this method without causing a concern over program stall or system throughput degradation. The Model can be kept compact; at the same time, the profiling method is simplified.

The Statistical Model is loaded from a database at initialization time. In one embodiment, several such Models are prepared, each corresponding to a particular path or phase in a software program. During the course of its execution, a software program may switch to an alternative Statistical Model via a Load-Function-Call-Table statement, devised specifically for such a purpose. This type of arrangement can improve the relevancy of the Models, since their statistic contents are generated with more precise and narrowed focus. Arrangement as such allows manual fine-tuning of the system for optimal throughput.

#### **Adaptive Modeling of Function Calls**

Statistical Models alone have limitations. Statistics, if kept static, can miss peak-and-valley situations and steer the scheduling inadequately. In the present invention, quantities such as hard-duration, time-gap, and next-call probabilities are adjusted dynamically, adapting to the latest history. In the current invention, the calculations for adaptive adjustments are kept simple to minimize overhead. One exemplary adaptive algorithm of such is described below:

5

10

15

20

25

10

15

25

Let reduction fraction f = 1/n, where n is an empirical and real number equal or greater than 2, specified by the user.

The adaptive evaluation for the hard-duration can be expressed as:

(2) Hard-duration <- Hard-duration \* (1-f) + Latest Hard-duration \* f

Hard-duration is re-evaluated at the end of a Function Call. Likewise, at the beginning of a Function Call, time-gap is updated with the latest data as follows:

(3) Time-gap <- Time-gap \* (1-f) + latest Time-Gap \* f

At the same time, *Probability* values for the *next-calls* to follow the current *Function Call* are re-evaluated as follows:

(4) Initialize R to 1;

For (all i in the next-calls) {

probability(i) <- probability(i)\* (1 - f);

R <- R - probability (i);

probability (current-call) <- probability (current-call) + R
}

The calculations above can be further simplified by taking out the second equation and replacing R in equation (4) with the constant f. The calculation of R, however, is necessary to keep numerical round-off in check, when integer arithmetic is used. When restricting n to a binary whole number (n = 2, 4, 8, ...), in one exemplary embodiment, the above *adaptive algorithm* can be efficiently implemented in hardware, replacing multiplication with binary shift operations.

## **Scheduling Schemes**

Under the methods devised in the present invention, the scheduling for the execution of *Function Calls* in reconfigurable fabric is synthesized into the staging of *Virtual Partitions* through a *pyramid* of storage *slots* (Fig. 4) such that they would be ready in place when the software program calls their number. The bulk of processing for staging is done in the background, when the embedded processor is free and would otherwise be idle. The system overhead is, thus, kept to a minimum.

In the present invention, the scheduling tasks are broken up into six concurrent processes, five of which are shown in Fig. 9A. These processes, communicate only through the FCT and VPM system tables and run independently in the background whenever the embedded processor is freed up. They are invoked upon specific events through either an interrupt or a message polling mechanism. The interrupt or message polling mechanism, in turn, initiate common runtime service routines, kept in a Runtime Services Library, for system level tasks. For instance, in one embodiment, run time services routines include copying a Configuration Bit stream, activating a Fabric Partition, etc. The six concurrent processes are:

20

5

10

15

- 1. **Demand Look-Ahead**. At the event a *Function Call* is invoked in the software program, this process is triggered to re-evaluate *demand status* in the *VPM*, starting off from its list of *next-calls* registered in the *FCT*. By traversing the *next-calls* lists recursively, the system analyzes the demands several steps ahead, thus the availability of the *Virtual Partitions* can be staged more effectively.
- 25
- 2. Tenure administration. At the completion of *Demand Look-Ahead*, this process is invoked to upgrade the rating in *tenure* of all *Virtual Partitions*. The heuristics used in the rating could be scripted by users.
- 30
- 3. Stage De-Queuing. The *De-Queuing* process relieves storage *slots* from top *rank* down, one *rank* at a time, where their current tenant's *tenure*

10

15

20

25

can no longer justify its rank. The address words of the relieved staging slots are returned to the "available" list of the rank, the locator of the Virtual Partition is backtracked to the previous address word.

- 4. Stage En-Queuing. The En-Queuing process allocates from an available list, one rank at a time, stage slots for Virtual Partitions with tenure higher than its rank. The allocated slot is now linked on top of the new tenant's locator with the corresponding valid flag turned off. At this time, a request is issued to a runtime service routine to have the contents copied over to the scheduled stage from its current location. At the successful completion of the copying, the valid flag is turned back on.
- 5. Adaptive Reduction (of the call history of the current Function Call). In the event a Function Call is concluded, the adaptive algorithm described above is applied to update the statistics in the FCT for the current function. At the same time, the next-call relationship between this and the previous Function Call is updated, or established if not previously included.
- 6. Global Fine Tuning: This process is initiated periodically to analyze the overall performance of the system, e.g. hit-to-miss ratio for Hard Executions, slot utilization of staging devices, system overhead, etc., and adjust the greediness parameters in various algorithms accordingly.

In one embodiment of the present invention, invocations of *processes* such as *Tenure Management*, *Stage De-Queuing*, and *Stage En-Queuing* are set up to execute progressively in finer steps, called *incremental tasking*. In this scheme, as shown in Fig. 9B, the process trio runs in a loop, stepping through the stages or levels of the *pyramid* one *rank* at a time. This finer division of scheduling tasks improves system efficiency.

## 1) Demand Look-Ahead

In a preferred embodiment of the scheduling method, the staging of *Virtual*Partitions is orchestrated by an implementation of *Demand Look-Ahead*. Basically,

the system explores the *next-calls lists* 63 (Fig. 7) to the *K-th* recursion starting from the current function, to access the demands on *Virtual Partitions K* steps ahead. *K* is referred to as the *look-ahead depth*, it is one of the parameters that the user may use to control the *greediness* of the scheduling algorithms. The fan-out tree of *next-calls* shown in Fig. 10 illustrates traversing the *next-calls* lists to *K*-th recursion. Every trace from the *root* (i.e. the current function, Function A) to a given node (not just leaf nodes) in the tree forms a *thread*, which relates a *next-call* relationship in *n* (1 n K) steps remove between the node (at the end of the trace) and the *root*. A *thread* is said *reachable*, if the *lead-time* of each *Virtual Partition* involved is within its anticipated *time of need*. The *lead-time* of a *Virtual Partition* equates to the *staging latency* at its *rank*.

For each possible *thread*, the compounded scores of the *next-call* statistics, namely, *time-gap*, *probability*, and *speed-up* (those kept in *FCT*), are evaluated. The composite scores over all *reachable threads* to a given *Virtual Partition* are kept in *VMP* as are the corresponding *time-window*, *prediction*, and *opportunity*. Notice that a given *Virtual Partition* may be reached via multiple *threads*, and even from itself. In one exemplary embodiment, the evaluation of the *time-window* is described as follows in C-like syntex:

```
20
```

5

10

15

```
(5) DEFINE time-of-need; = time-of-need; + duration; + time-gap;
DEFINE duration; = (reachable? hard-duration: hard-duration* speed-up)

DEFINE reachable; = (time-of-need; + time-gap;) > lead-time;
DEFINE lead-time; = staging-latency[node; -> rank]

node TRAVERSE (thread, j) /* a recursive function */

{
    last-node = top-of-stack(thread)
    next-list = last-node -> next-calls in FCT

for each (node in next-list) {
    j = j + 1
    push node into thread
```

```
If (j < K) node = TRAVERSE(thread, j)
                     VP-list = node -> macro-set in FCT
                     for each (virtual-partition in VP-list) {
                        if (reachable == false) continue
 5
                        if (touched == true) {
                            touched = false
                            time-to-enter = time-of-need
                            time-to-leave = time-of-need + duration
                        } else {
10
                             time-to-enter = MIN(time-to-enter, time-of-need)
                            time-to-leave = MAX(time-to-leave, time-of-need + duration
      )
                        }
15
                     pop node out of thread
                    j = j - 1
                 return last-node
20
             void main()
             Stack thread = { root-node }
             integer j = 0
25
                 reset time-window touched flags
                 if (TRAVERSE(thread, j) != root) error-exit
             }
30
```

In the description above, it is more aggressive to evaluate the *time-to-enter* and *time-to-leave* independently. Alternatively,

(5-1) time-to-leave = time-to-enter + duration

Similar, the *prediction* is scored as the *sum over reachable threads* of the compounded *probability* along the *thread*, and *opportunity* the net expected *payback*, as follows:

- (6) prediction =  $\Sigma_{Reachable\ Threads}(\Pi_{nodes-in\ -thread}(probability))$
- (7) opportunity = prediction \* (speed-up 1) \* hard-duration

For a more elaborated *look-ahead* scheme, two additional analyses may be incorporated. Firstly, there is a possibility of *conflict of opportunity*. That is, a partition occupying critical storage *slots*, e.g. *Configuration Cache*, may block out a *next-call* partition from being reachable. This would be undesirable if the lost opportunity in the latter case out weighs the gain in the former case. This analysis is to impose a cost functions over critical staging resources. Secondly, the *greediness* of scheduling can be made to be adaptive to global statistics real time, such as *staging slot* congestion, percentage of schedule miss, etc.

## 2) Tenure administration

In the present invention, at completion of *Demand Look-Ahead*, the system schedules an execution, referred as a *task*, of *Tenure Management* in a *Task Request Queue* (*TRQ*). Whenever the embedded processor is idle, the system wakes itself up, and invoke a task FIFO off *TRQ*. The *Tenure Management* process sorts in ascending order the entries in *VPM* to a priority-ordered list. The sorting is based on a *figure of merit*, calculated off a generic weighting function as follows:

## merit = a / (time-to-enter - time()) + b \* opportunity + c \* prediction

A scripting mechanism can be provided to allow users specify the coefficiencies a, b, and c, or even define their own weighting function. In practice, motivated by keeping system overhead minimal, only one of the triple variables (a, b, c) is set with a non-zero value. For example, when (a, b, c) is set:

(1, 0, 0): the order is *temporal*, reflecting the urgency of a *Hard Function* in demand.

(0, 1, 0): the order is *probabilistic*, reflecting the certainty of a

30

5

10

15

20

25

Hard Function being called soon.

(0, 0, 1): the order is *opportunistic*, reflecting the potential of gain in system throughput.

All these orderings are assumed to be ascending. In one embodiment of the present invention, all three orderings described about are prepared. Different algorithms use a different ordering that makes best sense. For example, it makes sense to process tenure in temporal order, since the demands at the top of queue need to be looked at first. Similarly, probabilistic ordering makes sense for Stage De-Queuing, since resources least likely needed ought to be freed up first. Likewise, opportunistic ordering makes sense for Stage En-Queuing, since the ultimate goal is to improve the system throughput. Moreover, the ordering chosen for each algorithm can be specified by users, so as to work out empirically a best strategy for the underlying software program.

15

20

10

5

At the prescribed ordering, the *tenure* of a given *Virtual Partition* is set according to the *just-in-time* principle, i.e. to the *rank* that satisfies the inequality in Equation (1). In one embodiment of the present invention, in conjunction with the notion of *incremental tasking* described above (shown in Fig. 9B), *tenures* are processed as follows:

```
for (rank = 1, count = 0, j = 0; j < \# of partitions; j++) \{

vp = temporal - order[j]

if (time - to - enter[vp] < staging latency [rank+1] \{

tenure[vp] = rank

count = count + 1

} else \{

wake - up Stage De - Queuing (rank, count)

wait (completion of Stage En - Queuing (rank))
```

15

20

25

30

```
rank = rank + 1
if (rank >= rank of the persistent stage) exit
count = 0
}
```

# 3) Stage De-Queuing

The *De-Queuing* process examines if there are enough free *slots*, at a given *rank*, to accommodate the all *Virtual Partition* at a specific *tenure*. When free *slots* are fewer than needed, the following actions are taken to match supply with demand:

Checking from a linked list maintained per each stage device, any slot, whose tenant (Virtual Partition) is with a time-to-leave being less than the wall clock time, is freed up. The current tenant is therefore demoted to the next rank.

If more *slots* need to be found, check from the same list to free up any *slot* whose *tenant* having a *time-to-enter* being zero or greater than the wall clock time. This de-allocates *slots* no longer in need. If still more *slots* need to be found, the demand side is suppressed to match the supply. This is done by dropping *Virtual Partitions* from *tenure* promotion in accordance with the *probabilistic* ordering.

The *De-Queuing* process is active when triggered by the *Tenure*Management process. The En-Queuing process is then subsequently triggered when the De-Queuing process frees up sufficient slots for new tenants. When incremental tasking is incorporated, the execution of processes 2, 3, and 4 shown in Fig. 9A is iterated on a per rank basis.

### 4) Stage En-Oueuing

The *En-Queuing* process, traversing the *VPM* in ascending *opportunistic* ordering, promotes *Virtual Partitions* whose *rank* is lower than its *tenure* up a *rank* 

10

15

20

25

above its current rank. The Promotion is done by allocating a free slot in a next rank and initiating a proper channel program, e.g. DMA channels, to copy the Configuration Bits over to the newly allocated slot. The copying is done in the background in the mix of other scheduling tasks. At the completion of copying, the channel program marks the copy in the new slot as valid.

In cases where a *Virtual Partition* has a *tenure* more than one *rank* above its current *rank*, the *En-Queuing* process is re-iterated multiple times to accomplish the full promotion. However, with sufficient depth in the look-ahead process, this re-iteration is, in general, unnecessary. The staging of a *Virtual Partition* is generally initiated soon enough, where promotions of more than one *rank* should not be needed at a time. Re-iteration, however, can be forced by users as one of the *greediness* options that a user can choose.

When incremental tasking is in effect, as an alternative exemplary embodiment, the re-iteration is enabled by default, however, the procedure involved is modified slightly. The En-Queuing process is iterated (as illustrated in Fig. 9B) from top to bottom, rank by rank. At each iteration, the process looks to promote Virtual Partitions with tenure higher than both the rank of iteration and its current rank. This means that the En-Queuing needs are examined from the top rank down to a rank of the iteration at each iteration. While the promotion is still being done one rank at a time, a Virtual Partition has the chance to eventually be fully promoted. For instance, a Virtual Partition currently at rank 4 and with a tenure 2 will be copied to rank 3 at iteration 3, then to rank 2 at iteration 4. This procedure is superior since it is as greedy as time would permit. If the execution of the current Function Call lasts long enough, sufficient free time of the embedded processor will be available to complete the incremental tasking. However, if the tasking procedure gets terminated prematurely, as it would be at the beginning of a next Function Call, the unfinished portion of the tasks are always the less significant portion. The

partial result is usable and effective.

## 5) Adaptive Reduction

5

10

15

20

25

At the conclusion of a Function Call, the statistic entries: hard-duration, time-gap, and probability, in FCT for the call prior to the current call are updated based on the Adaptive Reduction scheme described above (refer to Equation (2), (3) and (4) for details). The statistics are thus changed and adapted to current execution patterns. In addition, the following actions take place:

A probable *next-call* listed with a given *Function Call* will be dropped from the list, if miss the call frequent enough, when the associated *probability* is reduced below a threshold.

The current call will be added to the *next-calls* list if it is not there already.

Optionally, the system will monitor and log system efficiency in items such as: *hit-to-miss* ratio for *Hard Execution*, *congestion factor* in each *staging device*,

Optionally, the *time-windows* are reset for the current *next-call* tree (details are given in below).

Up until now, for simplistic reason, the discussion so far implies that only one *Function Call* is active at a given time. Although this could still be the case for certain software programs, it is, in general, not a reasonable assumption. For one thing, the *partitions* of *Reconfigurable Logic Fabric* can run independently of either other, thus, allowing multiple channels or parallel processing. For another, a compiler, employing techniques such as loop unfolding and others, can optimize performance by setting up parallel *threads* of code execution in the *reconfigurable fabric*.

As the consequence, we need to consider multiple Function Calls being

active concurrently. When this is this case, multiple next-call fanout trees, one proliferated from the active call, are maintained in parallel. The schemes described so far in the present invention supports Concurrent Function Calls as well, needing only a few minor modifications.

5

10

In the present invention, scheduling, or rather staging, of Virtual Partitions is divided up in incremental and self-contained units. Multiple instances of each of those units can be fired up simultaneously from different fanout trees. Each of these instances is managed as a task in a multi-tasking environment. A TRQ is set up to "pipeline" the execution of these scheduling tasks. Each of the concurrent calls can stack a series of tasks into the queue, and the system will pull them out of the FIFO. The tasks refer to the same FCT and VPM system tables. It should be understood that some mechanism must be provided to prevent these tasks from interfering with each other.

15

In one embodiment of the presentation invention, concurrent *Function Calls* are supported with additional provisions as follow:

20

25

The time windows in VPM are not reset in the event a Function Call becomes activated. Instead, at the conclusion of a Function Call, the fanout tree proliferated from the call is traversed again to have the corresponding fields of time windows reset.

A new field called *tree-id* mask is added to *VPM*, which allows each concurrent call to mark its own *fanout tree* using one bit of the mask. e.g. A 32-bit mask can support up to 32 active concurrent calls. Meanwhile, a *Virtual Partition* common to, for instance, two trees will have two of the bits turned on corresponding to the *tree-ids*.

Semaphores are employed to provide a lock mechanism that serializes the

updates made to each and any FCT and VPM entries.

Facilitated by the tree-id mask, the system can maintain one set of temporal,

probabilistic, and opportunistic orderings for each concurrent call.

## 6) Global Fine-Tuning

5

10

15

20

25

In one enhancement embodiment of the present invention, a *Global Fine-Tuning* task is initiated by the system periodically to self-evaluate the systems own efficiency, and adjust accordingly the *greediness* of the *processes* involved. Some exemplary Global Fine-Tuning schemes are as follow:

The system can increment the *look-ahead depth* when the *hit-to-miss* ratio is below a lower bound, and decrement when device *congestion* is above a upper bound.

The system can adjust the reduction factor f used in Equation (2) to (4) automatically based on the *hit-to-miss* ratio.

The system can maintain the *next-calls* statistics kept in *FCT*, namely, *hard-duration*, *time-gap*, and *speed-up*, in a pair of bounds. The system can adjust automatically the percentage point these values are referred.

### Self Training Mode

In the present invention, all facilities enable a system being brought up cold with no *initial Call History Model*, and work its way to establish the *Statistical Model* on-the-fly. This capacity allows the system to support a *Training Mode*, where more details are analyzed and more history logged, at the expense of running at a slower pace. In one embodiment, the option of parallel (*Hard* and *Soft*) *Function Call executions* is turned on in *Training Mode* to allow evaluation of *speed-up* factors. In an exemplary embodiment of the Self *Training Mode*, the following additional analysis and history keeping are included:

The frequency of *Global Fine-Tuning* evaluation is increased.

The statistics are generated over a history not just an instance. This means an audit trail is maintained for each pair of *consecutive*Function Calls, and the statistics kept in FCT are evaluated form this

30

audit tail each time.

The system can dump a snapshot copy of the *Model* to a secondary storage medium, and retrieve it back as an initial *Model*, or to continue the self training process.

5

10

15

20

In addition, the system can maintain *profiling statistics* covering the *Soft*Executions, which can give hints on additional *Hard Functions*.

In the preceding description, numerous specific details are set forth, such as specific system structures or elements in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. It will be apparent, however, to one skilled in the art that these specific details need not be employed to practice the present invention. In other instances, well known computing system elements or computing system processing have not been described in order to avoid unnecessarily obscuring the present invention.

Moreover, although the components of the present invention have been described in conjunction with certain embodiments, it is appreciated that the invention can be implemented in a variety of other ways. Consequently, it is to be understood that the particular embodiments shown and described by way of illustration is in no way intended to be considered limiting. Reference to the details of these embodiments is not intended to limit the scope of the claims which themselves recite only those features regarded as essential to the invention.